

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 LISAMARIA MARTINEZ,
10 Plaintiff,
11 v.
12 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA,
13 Defendant.
14

Case No. 20-cv-06570-TSH

ANSWER TO JURY QUESTION NO. 1
Re: Dkt. No. 170

15 Members of the jury, the Court answers your question as follows:

16 In answering Question 1 on the verdict form: Plaintiff Lisamaria Martinez alleges that
17 Defendant County of Alameda violated the Americans with Disabilities Act with regard to her
18 attempt to file the FBNS form in-person on March 29, 2019.

19 In answering Question 6 on the verdict form: Final Instruction No. 21 states that if you
20 find in favor of the Plaintiff on her claims under the Americans with Disabilities Act, the
21 California Disabled Persons Act, or California Government Code section 11135, then the Court
22 must determine whether to issue an injunction against further violations of those laws. It states
23 that you must decide whether the Defendant's Clerk Recorder's Office will likely in the future
24 violate its legal obligations described in Final Instructions Nos. 11 and 12 with respect to
25 individuals with a disability of blindness or visual impairment in the same type of way that you
26 found. The requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act described in Final Instructions
27 Nos. 11 and 12 apply to the services, programs, or activities of a public entity, regardless of
28 whether they are provided in-person or online.

1 In giving this answer, I am not implying that the Defendant violated its legal obligations on
2 March 29, 2019. Whether or not it did so is for you to decide.

4 || Dated: April 2, 2024

THOMAS S. HIXSON
United States Magistrate Judge

United States District Court
Northern District of California